

Short Cycles in Repeated Exponentiation Modulo a Prime

LEV GLEBSKY

Instituto de Investigación en Comunicacin Óptica
 Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí
 Av. Karakorum 1470, Lomas 4a 78210
 San Luis Potosi, Mexico
 glebsky@cactus.iico.uaslp.mx

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

Department of Computing, Macquarie University
 Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia
 igor@ics.mq.edu.au

August 27, 2009

Abstract

Given a prime p , we consider the dynamical system generated by repeated exponentiations modulo p , that is, by the map $u \mapsto f_g(u)$, where $f_g(u) \equiv g^u \pmod{p}$ and $0 \leq f_g(u) \leq p-1$. This map is in particular used in a number of constructions of cryptographically secure pseudorandom generators. We obtain nontrivial upper bounds on the number of fixed points and short cycles in the above dynamical system.

1 Introduction

Given a prime p and an integer g with $\gcd(g, p) = 1$ one can consider the dynamical system generated by consecutive exponentiations modulo p where

g serves as the base. More precisely, we define the function $f_g(u)$ by the conditions

$$f_g(u) \equiv g^u \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq f_g(u) \leq p-1,$$

and for some initial value u_0 we consider sequences of consecutive iteration

$$u_n = f_g(u_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Besides of being of intrinsic interest, this map has been used in several construction, see [4, 9] and references therein.

Here we study the number of initial values $u_0 \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ which lead to short cycles. More precisely, for an integer k we denote by $N_g(k)$ the number of $u_0 \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that for the sequence (1) we have $u_k = u_0$.

The quantities $N_g(1)$ (that is the number of fixed points) and $N_g(2)$ have recently been studied in [2, 5, 6, 7, 11] “on average” over $g \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. However, here we are mostly interested in “individual” results when g is fixed.

We remark that questions of this kind can be reformulated in an equivalent form as questions about iterations of the discrete logarithm function.

It is also important to note that generally speaking

$$f_g(f_g(u)) \not\equiv g^{g^u} \pmod{p}.$$

In particular, the results of [8] can be used to estimate the number of solutions to

$$g^{g^{u_0}} \equiv u_0 \pmod{p}, \quad u_0 \in \{1, \dots, p-1\},$$

but do not apply to $N_g(2)$ directly.

2 Preparation

We repeatedly use the following simple statement:

Lemma 1. *Let $u \equiv v \pmod{p}$ and $v \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, then*

$$g^v \equiv g^{u - \lfloor u/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Write

$$v = u - pw,$$

where

$$w = \left\lfloor \frac{u}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

Then

$$g^v \equiv g^{u-pw} \equiv g^{u-w} \pmod{p}.$$

since $g^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. □

3 Fixed Points

In the case when g is a primitive root one can easily derive from a much more general result of [3, Theorem 1] that $N_g(1) = O(p^{1/2})$.

Here we give a self-contained proof which also applies to any g .

Theorem 2. *For $p \geq 11$, uniformly over all integer g with $\gcd(g, p) = 1$ we have $N_g(1) \leq \sqrt{2p} + 1/2$.*

Proof. Let $1 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_N \leq p-1$, where $N = N_g(1)$, satisfy

$$f_g(x_i) = x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We consider the differences $x_i - x_j$, $1 \leq j < i \leq N$. Since $1 \leq x_i - x_j \leq p-2$, at least one difference, say a , is taken at least

$$T \geq \frac{N(N-1)}{2(p-2)}$$

times. Thus

$$(x_j + a) \equiv g^a x_j \pmod{p}$$

for at least T values of $j = 1, \dots, N$. This immediately implies that $T \leq 1$ and the result follows. □

4 Cycles of Length Two

Unfortunately, in the case of cycles of length two and three our method works only for small values of g .

Theorem 3. *For any fixed integer g with $\gcd(g, p) = 1$ we have*

$$N_g(2) \leq C(g) \frac{p}{\log p}$$

where $C(g)$ depends only on g .

Proof. Let $1 \leq y_1, \dots, y_N \leq p-1$, where $N = N_g(2)$, satisfy

$$f_g(x_i) = y_i \quad \text{and} \quad f_g(y_i) = x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

for some $x_i = y_{j_i}$.

Let us choose a real positive parameter $z < p$ to be optimized later. Clearly, there are at most

$$I_0 \leq p/z + 1 \tag{2}$$

values of $i = 1, \dots, N$ with $y_{i+1} - y_i \geq z$ or $i = N$.

Now, for every positive $a < z$ we count the number I_a of $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ with $y_{i+1} - y_i = a$. For such i , from

$$y_i \equiv g^{x_i} \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i+1} \equiv g^{x_{i+1}} \pmod{p}$$

we derive

$$g^{x_{i+1}} \equiv g^{x_i} + a \pmod{p}. \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, since both x_i and x_{i+1} generate cycles of length two, we have

$$g^{y_i} \equiv x_i \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad g^{y_{i+1}} \equiv x_{i+1} \pmod{p}$$

which yields

$$x_i g^a \equiv g^{y_i+a} \equiv g^{y_{i+1}} \equiv x_{i+1} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1

$$g^{x_{i+1}} \equiv g^{x_i g^a - k} \pmod{p}, \tag{4}$$

where

$$k = \left\lfloor \frac{x_i g^a}{p} \right\rfloor < g^a.$$

Combining (3) and (4) we obtain

$$y_i + a \equiv g^{-k} y_i^{g^a} \pmod{p}.$$

Clearly, for every a and k , this is a nontrivial polynomial equation of degree at most g^a (to see this it is enough to compare the polynomials $Y + a$ and

$g^k Y^{g^a}$ at $Y = 0$). Hence for every k there are at most g^a possible values of y_i . Because k takes at most g^a possible values, we obtain

$$I_a \leq g^{2a}, \quad a < z. \quad (5)$$

Therefore, we see from (2) and (5) that

$$N_g(2) \leq 2I_0 + 2 \sum_{a < z} I_a \leq 2p/z + 2 + 2 \frac{g^{2z} - 1}{g - 1} \leq 2p/z + 2 + 2g^{2z}.$$

Taking

$$z = \left\lceil \frac{\log p}{3 \log g} \right\rceil$$

we conclude the proof. $\square$

5 Cycles of Length Three

Here we use $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ to denote the residue ring modulo n . We also use $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$ to denote the finite field of p elements.

It is convenient to denote by $a \oplus_n b$ the sum of integers a and b modulo n ; so, $a \oplus_n b \equiv a + b \pmod{n}$ and $0 \leq a \oplus_n b \leq n-1$.

We start with the following simple statement:

Lemma 4. *Let $g, y \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. If*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{gy + g}{p} \right\rfloor > \left\lfloor \frac{gy + 1}{p} \right\rfloor$$

then

$$y \in \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{p}{g} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{2p}{g} \right\rfloor, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{(g-1)p}{g} \right\rfloor, p-1 \right\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{gy + g}{p} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{gy + 1}{p} \right\rfloor + 1 = k + 1$$

for some positive integer

$$k \leq \frac{g(p-1) + 1}{p} < g.$$

In particular

$$\frac{gy + 1}{p} < k + 1$$

thus

$$y < \frac{gy + 1}{g} < \frac{p(k + 1)}{g} \leq y + 1$$

which gives the desired result. $\square$

We also need the following combinatorial result, that could be of independent interest:

Lemma 5. *Consider two arbitrary sets $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ and also define $\mathcal{C} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} \mid x \oplus_n 1 \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Suppose, there exists a map $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{M}$, such that the cardinality of the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(a)$ of a satisfies $\#\varphi^{-1}(a) \leq k$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then*

$$\#\mathcal{M} \leq \frac{k + 1}{k + 2}n + \frac{\#\mathcal{S}}{k + 2}.$$

Proof. We split $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{I}_r$ into r intervals of the form

$$\mathcal{I}_j = \{x_j, x_j \oplus_n 1 \dots x_j \oplus_n (h_j - 1)\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}, \quad \text{and} \quad x_j \oplus_n h_j \notin \mathcal{M},$$

where $j = 1, \dots, r$. Thus between $\mathcal{I}_j$ and $\mathcal{I}_{j \oplus_r 1}$ there is an element in $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{M}$. This implies the inequalities

$$\#\mathcal{C} \geq \#\mathcal{M} - r \tag{6}$$

and

$$n \geq \#\mathcal{M} + r. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, since $\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ we derive

$$\#(\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{M}) \geq \frac{\#\mathcal{C} - \#\mathcal{S}}{k}.$$

So, recalling (6) and (7), one has

$$n \geq \#\mathcal{M} + \frac{\#\mathcal{C} - \#\mathcal{S}}{k} \geq \#\mathcal{M} + \frac{\#\mathcal{M} - r - \#\mathcal{S}}{k} \geq \#\mathcal{M} + \frac{2\#\mathcal{M} - n - \#\mathcal{S}}{k}$$

that implies the desired inequality. $\square$

Theorem 6. *Let p be a prime. Then $N_g(3) \leq \frac{3}{4}p + \frac{g^{2g+1}+g+1}{4}$.*

Proof. All periodic points of f_g belongs to the multiplicative subgroup generated by g . So, the bound holds if g is not a primitive root modulo p . In what follows we assume that g is a primitive root modulo p and as usual, for an integer u with $\gcd(u, p) = 1$ we define $\text{ind}_g u$ as the unique nonnegative integer $v \leq p-2$ with $g^v \equiv u \pmod{p}$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_3$ be the set of $u \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ which generate a cycle of length three.

We consider

$$x_1 \in \mathcal{N}_3 \setminus \{p-1, \text{ind}_g(p-1), \text{ind}_g(\lfloor p/g \rfloor), \dots, \text{ind}_g(\lfloor (g-1)p/g \rfloor)\} \quad (8)$$

and put

$$y_1 = f_g(x_1), \quad z_1 = f_g(x_1), \quad x_1 = f_g(z_1).$$

Suppose also that for $x_2 = x_1 \oplus_p 1$ we also have $x_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3$, that is,

$$y_2 = f_g(x_2), \quad z_2 = f_g(y_2), \quad x_2 = f_g(z_2).$$

We have

$$y_2 \equiv y_1 g \pmod{p}.$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$z_2 \equiv g^{y_2} \equiv g^{gy_1 - \lfloor gy_1/p \rfloor} \equiv z_1^g g^{-\lfloor gy_1/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}.$$

Then z_1 satisfies the following congruence:

$$x_1 + 1 \equiv x_2 \equiv g^{z_2} \equiv g^{uz_1^g - \lfloor uz_1^g/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}, \quad (9)$$

where $u \equiv g^{-\lfloor gy_1/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}$, $0 \leq u \leq p-1$.

Finally, suppose, that $x_3 = \text{ind}_g(y_1 + 1)$ and $x_4 = x_3 \oplus_p 1$ both satisfy $x_3, x_4 \in \mathcal{N}_3$. We put

$$y_3 = f_g(x_3), \quad z_3 = f_g(y_3), \quad x_3 = f_g(z_3)$$

and

$$y_4 = f_g(x_4), \quad z_4 = f_g(y_4), \quad x_4 = f_g(z_4).$$

In particular,

$$z_3 \equiv g z_1 \pmod{p} \quad (10)$$

and

$$y_4 \equiv gy_3 \equiv gy_1 + g \pmod{p}. \quad (11)$$

Using (10) and Lemma 1, we have

$$x_3 \equiv g^{z_3} \equiv g^{gz_1 - \lfloor gz_1/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}, \quad (12)$$

Similarly, by (11) and Lemma 1, we have

$$z_4 \equiv g^{y_4} \equiv vg^{gy_1+g} \pmod{p}$$

with some integer

$$v \equiv g^{-\lfloor g(y_1+1)/p \rfloor} \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq v \leq p-1.$$

Thus

$$z_4 \equiv g^g vz_1^g \pmod{p}$$

and by Lemma 1, we derive

$$x_4 \equiv g^{z_4} \equiv g^{g^g vz_1^g - \lfloor g^g vz_1^g/p \rfloor} \pmod{p} \quad (13)$$

Since $x_4 \equiv x_3 + 1$, we derive from (12) and (13) that

$$g^{gz_1 - \lfloor gz_1/p \rfloor} + 1 \equiv g^{g^g vz_1^g - \lfloor g^g vz_1^g/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}.$$

Since the condition (8), we see that $u = v$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g^{gz_1 - \lfloor gz_1/p \rfloor} + 1 &\equiv g^{g^g uz_1^g - \lfloor g^g uz_1^g/p \rfloor} \\ &\equiv \left(g^{uz_1^g - \lfloor uz_1^g/p \rfloor} \right)^{g^g} g^{g^g \lfloor uz_1^g/p \rfloor - \lfloor g^g uz_1^g/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (12) and using $x_1 \equiv g^{z_1} \pmod{p}$, we see that the last congruence is equivalent to

$$x_1^g g^{-\lfloor gz_1/p \rfloor} + 1 \equiv (x_1 + 1)^{g^g} g^{g^g \lfloor uz_1^g/p \rfloor - \lfloor g^g uz_1^g/p \rfloor} \pmod{p}.$$

Now,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{gz_1}{p} \right\rfloor \in \{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$$

and

$$\left\lfloor \frac{g^g uz_1^g}{p} \right\rfloor - g^g \left\lfloor \frac{uz_1^g}{p} \right\rfloor \in \{0, \dots, g^g - 1\}.$$

So, x_1 satisfies one of g^{g+1} possible nontrivial polynomial congruences of degree g^g . Let $\mathcal{X}$ denote the set of all such x_1 . We now consider the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \cup \left\{ p-1, \text{ind}_g(p-1), \text{ind}_g \left\lfloor \frac{p}{g} \right\rfloor, \text{ind}_g \left\lfloor \frac{2p}{g} \right\rfloor, \dots, \text{ind}_g \left\lfloor \frac{(g-1)p}{g} \right\rfloor \right\}$$

of cardinality

$$\#\mathcal{S} \leq g^{2g+1} + g + 1.$$

Then for every $x \notin \mathcal{S}$ if

$$x, x \oplus_p 1, f_g(x) \oplus_p 1 \in \mathcal{N}_3$$

then

$$f_g(f_g(f_g(x) \oplus_p 1)) \oplus_p 1 \notin \mathcal{N}_3.$$

We put $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}_3$ and define the set $\mathcal{C}$ as in Lemma 5. We now construct function $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \mathcal{M}$ by the following rule:

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} f_g(x) \oplus_p 1 & \text{if } f_g(x) \oplus_p 1 \notin \mathcal{M}, \\ f_g(f_g(f_g(x) \oplus_p 1)) \oplus_p 1 & \text{if } f_g(x) \oplus_p 1 \in \mathcal{M}. \end{cases}$$

Since both functions used in the definition of φ are invertible functions, we have $|\varphi^{-1}(a)| \leq 2$ and thus we can apply Lemma 5 with $k = 2$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

6 Open Questions

We have no doubts that our estimates are very far from the true behaviour of $N_g(1)$, $N_g(2)$ and $N_g(3)$. Yes, they seem to be the only known results. Unfortunately, our approach does not work for $N_g(k)$ with $k \geq 4$ and finding an alternative way to estimate, say $N_g(4)$ is an important open question.

One can also consider analogues of our results for elliptic curves. Namely, let $\mathcal{E}$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$ given by an affine Weierstraß equation:

$$\mathcal{E} : Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b.$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{E}$ has a structure of a finite abelian group under an appropriate composition rule, with the point at infinity $\mathcal{O}$ as the neutral element, see [10].

Furthermore, given an $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational point $P \in \mathcal{E}$ we denote by $x(P)$ its x -coordinate. Using the groups structure of points on $\mathcal{E}$, for a point $G \in \mathcal{E}$, we define the function $F_G(u)$ by the conditions

$$F_G(u) \equiv x(uG) \pmod{N} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq f_g(u) \leq N-1,$$

where N is the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points on $\mathcal{E}$.

We believe that the approach of this paper can also be used to study fixed points and cycles of length two and there, associated with this map. However the details can be more involved than in the case of modular exponentiation.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Product sets of rationals, multiplicative translates of subgroups in residue rings and fixed points of the discrete logarithm’, *Intern. Math. Research Notices*, **2008** (2008), Article ID rnn090, 1–29 (Corrigenda *Intern. Math. Research Notices*, **2009** (2009), 3146–3147).
- [2] C. Cobeli and A. Zaharescu, ‘An exponential congruence with solutions in primitive roots’, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **44** (1999), 15–22.
- [3] D. Coppersmith and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On polynomial approximation of the discrete logarithm and the Diffie–Hellman mapping’, *J. Cryptology*, **13** (2000), 339–360.
- [4] O. Goldreich and V. Rosen, ‘On the security of modular exponentiation with application to the construction of pseudorandom generators’, *J. Cryptology*, **16** (2003), 71–93.
- [5] J. Holden, ‘Fixed points and two cycles of the discrete logarithm’, *Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **2369** (2002), 405–416.
- [6] J. Holden and P. Moree, ‘New conjectures and results for small cycles of the discrete logarithm’, *High Primes and Misdemeanours: Lectures in Honour of the 60th Birthday of Hugh Cowie Williams*, Fields Institute Communications, vol.41, Amer. Math. Soc., 2004, 245–254.

- [7] J. Holden and P. Moree, ‘Some heuristics and results for small cycles of the discrete logarithm’, *Math. Comp.*, **75** (2006), 419–449.
- [8] G. C. Meletiou and A. Winterhof, ‘Interpolation of the double discrete logarithm’, *Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **5130** (2008), 1–10.
- [9] S. Patel and G. S. Sundaram, ‘An efficient discrete log pseudo random generator’, *Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **1462** (1999), 35–44.
- [10] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [11] W. P. Zhang, ‘On a problem of Brizolis’, *Pure Appl. Math.*, **11** (1995), suppl., 1–3 (in Chinese).